# PROJECT REPORT OF SEMESTER -3 OF THE DEPARMENT OF MATHEMATICS ( HONS.).

# **IDEAL OF A RING**

<u>Definition</u>: A subring S of a ring R is said to be (i) A left ideal of R if  $a \in S$ ,  $r \in R \Rightarrow r.a \in S$ ; (ii) A right ideal of (iii) a both sided ideal (or an ideal) of R if  $a\in S$ ,  $r\in R \Rightarrow r.a\in S$  and  $a.r\in S$ .

Let R be a ring. Then the improper subring R is an ideal of R. This ideal is called the improper ideal of R. All other ideals are called proper ideals of R.

The subring {0} is also an ideal of R. This is called the trivial ideal or the null ideal of R.

Different types of ideal in a ring:

• Principal ideals in a ring: Let S be a non-empty subset of a ring R. The intersection of all ideals of R containing the subset S is an ideal of R and it is the smallest ideal of R containing the subset S. This is said to be the ideal generated by S.

In particular, if S be a single element of R then the ideal generated by the element is called a principal ideal of R.

Thus corresponding to each element of a ring R there is a principal ideal of R. Definition: Let R be a ring and  $a \in R$ . The smallest ideal of R containing the element a is said to be a principal ideal of R. It is said to be the ideal generated by a and is denoted by (a).

Alternatively, an ideal U of a ring R is said to be a principal ideal of R if U= (a) for some a in R.

Examples.

1. Let R be a ring. The null ideal {0} is the smallest ideal of R containing the element 0. The null ideal {0} is

2. Let R be a ring with unity. Let 1 be the unity in R. Let U be the smallest ideal of R containing the element 1. Since U is an ideal,  $a \in R$ ,  $1 \in U \Rightarrow 1 \cdot a \in U$  i.e.,  $a \in U$ .=Thus  $a \in R \Rightarrow a \in U$  and therefore U=R. Consequently, R is the principal ideal generated by 1.

3. In the ring 2Z, the subring  $\{0, \pm 4, \pm 8, \pm 12, ...\}$  is an ideal. It is the smallest ideal containing the element 4. Therefore it is the principal ideal <4>.

4. Let us consider the ring R = Zx Z, where + are defined by (a,b) + (c,d) = (a + c, b + d) and (a, b). (c,d)=(ab,cd) for all  $(a,b),(c,d)\in ZXZ$ . The subring S of all ordered pairs  $\{(a,0): a\in Z\}$  is an ideal of R. We prove that it is the smallest ideal of R containing the element (1,0) of R.

PRINCIPAL Dhruba Chand Halder College P.O.-D. Barasat, P.S.-Jaynagar Dist-24 Pgs. (S) Pin-743372

Let U be any ideal of R containing the element (1,0). Let  $(p, 0)\in S$ . Then  $(p, b)\in R$  for all  $b\in Z$ . Since U is an ideal of R,  $(1, 0)\in U$ ,  $(p, b)\in R \Rightarrow (1,0).(p, b) U$ , i.e.,  $(p, 0)\in U$ .

Therefore  $S \subset U$ . This shows that S is the smallest ideal of R con taining the element (1,0).

Hence S is a principal ideal of the ring R.

# Principal ideal ring:

Definition: A ring is said to be a principal ideal ring if every ideal of the ring is a principal ideal.

Examples:

1. The ring Z is a principal ideal ring.

2. The ring Z, is a principal ideal ring.

### Prime ideals in a ring:

<u>Definition</u>: In a ring R, an ideal P  $\neq$  R is said to be a prime ideal f for a, b in R, ab  $\in$  P implies either a  $\in$  P or b  $\in$  P

#### Examples:

**1.** The null ideal {0} in the ring Z is a prime ideal, since for a, b  $\in$  z, ab  $\in$  {0} implies ab= 0 and this implies either a = 0 or b= 0 and this again implies either a  $\in$  {0} or b $\in$ {0}.

2. The ideal 2Z in the ring Z is a prime ideal. Let  $ab\in 2Z$  for some  $a, b\in Z$ .  $ab\in 2Z \Rightarrow ab = 2m$  for some integer m. This implies 2 is a divisor of ab and this again implies either 2 is a divisor of a or 2 is a divisor of b. 2 is a divisor of a implies  $a\in 2Z$ . 2 is a divisor of b implies  $b\in 2Z$ .

Thus ab  $\in$  2Z implies either a  $\in$  2Z or b $\in$  2Z. This proves that 2Z is a prime ideal in the ring Z.

3. The ideal 4Z in the ring 2Z is not a prime ideal, since  $2.2 \in 4Z$  but  $2 \notin 4Z$ .

<u>Prime ideals in the ring Z</u>: The prime ideals in the ring Z are the ideals pZ, where p is either 0 or a prime.

<u>Proof</u>: The null ideal  $\{0\}$  in the ring Z is a prime ideal, since for a,  $b \in Z$  ab  $\in \{0\}$  implies ab = 0 and this implies either a = 0 or b = 0 and this again implies either a  $\in (\{0\} \text{ or } b \in \{0\})$ .

Let p be a prime. To prove that pZ is a prime ideal, let  $ab \in pZ$  form some integers a, b. Then ab = pm for some integer m. Therefore p is a divisor of ab. This implies either p is a divisor of a or p is a divisor of b. P|a implies a = pu for some integer u and therefore  $a \in pZ$ . P|b implies b=pu for some integer u and therefore  $b \in pZ$ . Thus  $ab \in pZ$  implies either  $a \in pz$  or  $b \in pZ$ . This proves that pZ is a prime ideal in the ring Z. Conversely, let pZ be a non-null prime ideal in the ring Z. Therefore  $p \neq 0$ . Since pZ is a prime ideal,  $pZ \neq Z$  and therefore  $p \neq 1$ . Let p|ab for some integers a, b. Then ab=pu for some integer Therefore  $ab \in pZ$ . Since pZ is a prime ideal,  $ab \in pZ$  implies either  $a \in pZ$  or  $b \in pZ$ . A  $\in pZ \Rightarrow p|a, b \in pZ \Rightarrow p|b$ . Thus p|ab implies either p|a or p|b. Therefore p is a prime. This completes the proof.

#### Maximal ideals in a ring:

Definition. Let R be a ring. An ideal  $M \neq R$  is said to be a maximal ideal in R if for any ideal U of R satisfying  $M \subset U \subset R$ , either U=M or U= R.

PRINCIPAL Dhruba Chand Halder College P.O.-D. Barasat, P.S.-Jaynagar Dist-24 Pgs. (S) Pin-743372

In other words, a maximal ideal M of a ring R has the property that there exists no proper ideal of R strictly containing M.

## Examples.

**1.** null ideal is a maximal ideal in a field F, since there is no proper ideal of F strictly containing the ideal {0}.

2. The null ideal is a maximal ideal in a simple ring.

3.In the ring R= Z, the ideal 27 is a maximal ideal.

Let U be an ideal of the ring Z such that  $2Z \subset U \subset R$ . Since Z is a principal ideal ring, U is a principal ideal of Z. Le U = (m) for some positive integer m. Then U = mZ.

 $2 \in 2Z \subset mZ \Rightarrow m \mid 2$ . This implies either m = 1 or m = 2. If m = 1 then U = (1) = R. If m=2 then U = (2) = 2Z. Therefore 2Z CUCR imples either U = R or U = 2Z.

This proves that the ideal 2Z is a maximal ideal in the ring Z.

4. In the ring R = Z, the ideal 4Z is a not a maximal ideal, since the Ideal 2Z is a proper ideal of R strictly containing the ideal 4Z.

5. In the ring  $R = Z \times Z$ , let  $S = \{(a, 0): a \in Z\}$ ,  $T = \{(a, 2b): \in Z, b \in Z\}$ .

Then S and T are ideals of R. T is a proper ideal of R strictly containing S. Therefore S is not a maximal ideal of R.

#### Maximal ideals in the ring Z.

The ideal pZ in the ring Z is maximal if and only if p is a prime.

<u>Proof</u>. Let p be a prime. To prove that the ideal pZ is maximal, let U be an ideal of the ring Z properly containing the ideal pZ. Then there is an element q in U such that  $q \notin pZ$ . Clearly, q is not a multiple of p. Since p is a prime, gcd(p, q) = 1. Therefore pu + qv = 1 for some integers u, v.  $pu \in pZ \subset U \Rightarrow pu \in U$ ; and  $q \in U \Rightarrow qv \in U$ .

Therefore  $1 \in U$  and this implies U = Z.

Thus no ideal of the ring Z properly containing the ideal pZ is proper and this proves that the ideal pZ is maximal in Z.

Conversely, let pZ be a maximal ideal in the ring Z. Then pZ  $\neq$  Z and therefore p  $\neq$  1.

Let p be not a prime and let a be a divisor of p such that 1 < a < p. a is a divisor of p implies  $p \in aZ$  and therefore  $pZ \subset aZ$ . A < p implies  $a \notin pZ$ . Since  $a \in aZ$ , it follows that the ideal aZ properly contains the Ideal pz.  $A \neq 1$  implies the ideal aZ is a proper ideal of Z.

Thus there is a proper ideal aZ properly containing the ideal pz and so pZ is not maximal, a contradiction to the assumption. Thefore p is a prime.

This completes the proof.

RRINCIPAL Dhruba Chand Halder College P.O.-D. Barasat, P.S.-Jaynagar Dist-24 Pgs. (S) Pin-743372